

On the cardinality of the θ -closed hull of sets II

Filippo Cammaroto, Andrei Catalioto,
Bruno Antonio Pansera, and Jack Porter

May 25, 2012

Abstract

The research in this paper is a continuation of the investigation of the cardinality of the θ -closed hull of subsets of spaces. This research obtains new upper bounds of the cardinality of the θ -closed hull of subsets using cardinal functions of θ -bitightness ([8]), finite θ -bitightness ([7]) and θ -bitightness small number ([7]) of spaces. In the final section, examples of spaces are presented including one that answers a question posed in [4] and [6].

Keywords and phrases: Urysohn space, n -Urysohn space, Urysohn number, θ -closure, θ -closed hull, character, closed character, θ -bitightness, finite θ -bitightness, θ -bitightness small number, cardinal inequalities.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 54A25, 54D10; Secondary: 54A20, 54D25.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, X is used to denote a topological space and A is an arbitrary subset of X . All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff unless specifically mentioned otherwise. Also, the Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ are used to denote infinite ordinal numbers and $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \dots$ infinite cardinal numbers. The family of open sets of X is denoted by $\tau(X)$, and $\mathcal{N}_x$ (resp. $c\mathcal{N}_x$) is used to denote the collection of open (resp. closed) neighborhoods of $x \in X$. Our notation and terminology are mainly as in [9] (for general topological notions) and [10] (for cardinal functions). We start by recalling some basic concepts that are used in the sequel.

The *semiregularization* of a space X , denoted by X_s (or $X(s)$), is the set X with the topology generated by the family $RO(X) = \{U \in \tau(X) : U = \text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(U))\}$ of regular open sets of X . A space X is called *semiregular* when $X = X_s$.

The θ -closure of A , denoted by $\text{cl}_\theta(A)$, is the set of all elements of $x \in X$ such that $\text{cl}_X U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, whenever $x \in U \in \tau(X)$. A is said θ -closed if $A = \text{cl}_\theta(A)$. The θ -closed hull of A , denoted by $[A]_\theta$, is the smallest θ -closed subset of X containing A (i.e. $[A]_\theta := \bigcap \{C \subseteq X : A \subseteq C \text{ and } C = \text{cl}_\theta(C)\}$).

Recall that for $x \in X$, $\chi(x, X)$ denotes the smallest cardinality of a local base of X at x , and the character $\chi(X)$ of the space X is the maximum of $\aleph_0$ and $\sup_{x \in X} \chi(x, X)$. Also, for $x \in X$, $\chi_\theta(x, X)$ denotes the smallest cardinal κ for which there is a collection $\mathcal{V}_x \subseteq \mathcal{CN}_x$ such that $|\mathcal{V}_x| \leq \kappa$ and if $W \in \mathcal{CN}_x$ then W contains a member of $\mathcal{V}_x$, and the *closed character* $\chi_\theta(X)$ of the space X is the maximum of $\aleph_0$ and $\sup_{x \in X} \chi_\theta(x, X)$. It follows that $\chi_\theta(X) = \chi(X_s)$ (see Proposition 1 below); thus $\chi_\theta(X) \leq \chi(X)$ and $\chi_\theta(X)$ may be strictly smaller than $\chi(X)$. In [1], it is shown that for a subset A of an Urysohn space X , $|\text{cl}_\theta(A)| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$.

A space X is *Urysohn* if for two distinct points $x, y \in X$ there are neighborhoods U of x and V of y such that $\text{cl}_X U \cap \text{cl}_X V = \emptyset$. In [4] Bonanzinga, Cammaroto and Matveev defined the following cardinal function and used it to extend the definition of Urysohn.

$$U(X) = \min\{\kappa : \text{for every } A \in [X]^{\geq \kappa} \text{ one can find neighborhoods } U_a \text{ of } a \text{ for } a \in A \text{ such that } \bigcap_{a \in A} \text{cl}_X U_a = \emptyset\}.$$

The cardinal function $U(X)$ is called the *Urysohn number* of X . For $2 \leq n < \omega$, the space X is *n-Urysohn* whenever $U(X) = n$; in particular, X is Urysohn iff $U(X) = 2$.

In 1993, Cammaroto and Kočinac [8] introduced the concept of θ -bitightness for a space X , denoted by $bt_\theta(X)$, as the smallest cardinal κ such that for each non- θ -closed set $A \subseteq X$ there are $x \in \text{cl}_\theta(A) \setminus A$ and $\mathcal{S} \in [[A]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \text{cl}_\theta(S)$. They showed, in Urysohn spaces, that $bt_\theta(X)$ is defined, $bt_\theta(X) \leq \chi(X)$, and the inequality is strict. Recently, Cammaroto, Catalioto, Pansera and Tsaban [7] introduced (1) the concept of *finite θ -bitightness* for a space X , denoted by $fbt_\theta(X)$, as the smallest cardinal κ such that for each non- θ -closed $A \subseteq X$, there is $\mathcal{S} \in [[A]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \text{cl}_\theta(S) \setminus A$ is finite nonempty and (2) the concept of *θ -bitightness small number* for any space X , denoted by $bts_\theta(X)$, as the smallest cardinal κ such that for each non- θ -closed $A \subseteq X$ that is not a singleton (true for Hausdorff spaces), there is $\mathcal{S} \in [[A]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \text{cl}_\theta(S) \setminus A$ is nonempty and

$|\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} cl_\theta S| \leq |A|^\kappa$. It is clear that when $bt_\theta(X)$ is defined, so is $fbt_\theta(X)$ and $bts_\theta(X) \leq fbt_\theta(X) \leq bt_\theta(X)$ and that $bts_\theta(X)$ is defined for any space.

In 1988, Bella and Cammaroto [2] proved that $|[A_\theta]| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)}$ for every subset A of an Urysohn space X . This result was improved, in Urysohn spaces, to $|[A]_\theta| \leq |A|^{bt_\theta(X)}$ in 1993 by Cammaroto and Kočinac [8]. Recently, Bonanzinga, Cammaroto, Matveev, Pansera [4, 6] improved Bella and Cammaroto's result to $|[A]_\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$ when $U(X)$ is finite.

In this paper we give some results concerning the relationship between $bt_\theta(X)$ and $fbt_\theta(X)$ and several examples are showed including a negative answer to a problem of Bonanzinga-Cammaroto-Matveev [4] and Bonanzinga-Pansera [6].

2 Cardinal properties of the θ -bitightness, the finite θ -bitightness and the θ -bitightness small number

In [7] the authors defined for any space X , a new topological cardinal invariant called the θ -bitightness small number of X and denoted as $bts_\theta(X)$, as the smallest cardinal κ such that for each non- θ -closed $A \subseteq X$ that is not a singleton, there is $\mathcal{S} \in [[A]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} cl_\theta(S) \setminus A \neq \emptyset$ and $|\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} cl_\theta(S)| \leq |A|^\kappa$. They also proved that in every topological space X , the cardinality of the θ -closed hull of each set $A \subseteq X$ is at most $|A|^{bts_\theta(X)}$.

For completeness of our exposition, we provide a proof of the following widely accepted lemma.

Lemma 1. *For any space X and $A \subseteq X$, $cl_\theta^X(A) = cl_\theta^{X_s}(A)$ as sets.*

Proof. Let $p \in cl_\theta^X(A)$ and $p \in U \in \tau(X_s)$ and $U \in RO(X)$. Then, $cl_{X_s}U = cl_XU$ (see [11]), then $cl_{X_s}U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $p \in cl_\theta^{X_s}(A)$. Conversely, suppose $p \in cl_\theta^{X_s}(A)$ and $p \in U \in \tau(X)$. Then $p \in int_X cl_XU$ and $int_X cl_XU \in \tau(X_s)$. Now $cl_X int_X cl_XU = cl_XU$ and $\emptyset \neq cl_X int_X cl_XU \cap A = cl_XU \cap A$. So, $p \in cl_\theta^X(A)$. $\square$

So, we also have the following result concerning the θ -closed hull.

Corollary 1. *If $A \subseteq X$, then $|[A]_\theta^X| = |[A]_\theta^{X_s}|$.*

Now, we will study the relationships among the cardinal functions.

Proposition 1. For a space X , $\chi_\theta(X) = \chi_\theta(X_s) = \chi(X_s) \leq \chi(X)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a family of closed neighborhoods of $p \in X$ such that $|\mathcal{C}| = \chi_\theta(p, X)$. Then $\mathcal{R} = \{int_X A : A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a family of regular open sets, each containing p and $|\mathcal{R}| \leq |\mathcal{C}|$. To show that $\mathcal{R}$ is a $\tau(X_s)$ -open base for p , let $p \in U \in \tau(X_s)$ where U is a regular open subset of X . Then, there is some $A \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $A \subseteq cl_X U$. Now, $int_X A \subseteq int_X cl_X U = U$, and it follows that $\chi(p, X_s) \leq \chi_\theta(p, X)$ for each $p \in X$. Thus, $\chi(X_s) \leq \chi_\theta(X)$. Moreover, Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a $\tau(X_s)$ -open base for $p \in X$ such that $|\mathcal{R}| = \chi(p, X_s)$ and each $U \in \mathcal{R}$ is regular open in X . Let $\mathcal{C} = \{cl_X U : U \in \mathcal{R}\}$. To show that the family $\mathcal{C}$ of closed neighborhoods of p is a base for the closed neighborhoods of p , let A be a closed neighborhood of p in X . Then $int_X A$ is a regular open subset in X and contains p . There is some $U \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $p \in U \subseteq int_X A$. Thus, $cl_X U \subseteq A$ and $cl_X U \in \mathcal{C}$. As $\mathcal{C}$ is a base for the closed neighborhoods of p in X , $\chi_\theta(p, X) \leq \chi(p, X_s)$. This completes the proof that $\chi_\theta(X) \leq \chi(X_s)$. By Lemma 1, we have that $\chi_\theta(X) = \chi(X_s)$ and $\chi_\theta(X_s) = \chi((X_s)_s) = \chi(X_s)$. $\square$

The inequalities in Proposition 1 hold for any space and supplement the following inequalities established in [7] that hold in Urysohn spaces.

Proposition 2. If X is Urysohn, then $bts_\theta(X) \leq fbt_\theta(X) \leq bt_\theta(X) \leq \chi_\theta(X) \leq \chi(X)$.

The inequalities in Proposition 2 hold whenever $bt_\theta(X)$ is defined ($fbt_\theta(X)$ is defined whenever $bt_\theta(X)$ is defined and $bts_\theta(X), \chi_\theta(X)$, and $\chi(X)$ are defined for any space). As $bts_\theta(X)$ and $\chi_\theta(X)$ are defined for any space, it is natural to ask if $bts_\theta(X) \leq \chi_\theta(X)$ holds for any space. It is interesting that it is not true that $bts_\theta(X) \leq \chi_\theta(X)$ holds for any space. A counterexample is a part of Example 1.

The next result is another improvement of the Bella-Cammaroto [2] inequality of the closed hull of a subset of an Urysohn space.

Theorem 1. If X is Urysohn and $A \subseteq X$, then $|[A]_\theta| \leq |A|^{fbt_\theta(X)}$.

Proof. Let $fbt_\theta(X) = \kappa$. It suffices to consider only non- θ -closed subsets A of X . Let $|A| \leq \mu$. By induction, we will construct an increasing sequence $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$ of subsets of X such that $A_0 = A$, $|A_\alpha| \leq \mu^\kappa$, and for $\alpha < \beta < \kappa^+$, $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$. Suppose $\beta < \kappa^+$ and A_α is defined for $\alpha < \beta$. If β is a limit ordinal, then define $A_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} A_\alpha$ and A_β has the desired properties. Suppose $\beta = \gamma + 1$ is a successor ordinal. Define $A_\beta = A_\gamma \cup C_\gamma$ where $C_\gamma = \{x \in X \setminus A_\gamma : \mathcal{S} \in [[A_\gamma]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa} \text{ such that } \{x\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} cl_\theta(S) \setminus A_\gamma\}$.

For each $x \in C_\gamma$, select one $\mathcal{S}_x \in [[A_\gamma]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}_x} cl_\theta(S) \setminus A_\gamma$. Note that $|[[A_\gamma]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}| \leq (\mu^\kappa)^\kappa = \mu^\kappa$. Thus, $|A_\beta| \leq \mu^\kappa$. This completes the induction. For $B = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \kappa^+} A_\alpha$, we have that $|B| \leq \mu^\kappa$. The final step is to show that B is θ -closed. Suppose $cl_\theta B \setminus B \neq \emptyset$. Then B is non- θ -closed and there is $\mathcal{S} \in [[B]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} cl_\theta(S) \setminus B$. There is $\beta < \kappa^+$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{S} \subseteq A_\beta$. By the construction of $A_{\beta+1}$, $x \in A_{\beta+1} \subseteq B$, a contradiction. Thus, B is θ -closed. $\square$

Now, we provide a lower and upper bound of $bt_\theta(X)$ in terms of $fbt_\theta(X)$.

Theorem 2. *If X is Urysohn, then $fbt_\theta(X) \leq bt_\theta(X) \leq 2^{fbt_\theta(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $fbt_\theta(X) = \kappa$ and A be a non- θ -closed subset of X . By Proposition 2.4 in [7], there is $x \in cl_\theta(A) \setminus A$ and $\mathcal{S} \in [[A]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} cl_\theta(S) \setminus A$. We can write $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ and assume that $S_0 \supseteq S_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$. By Theorem 1, $|A \cap cl_\theta S_0| \leq |cl_\theta S_0| \leq |S_0|^\kappa = 2^\kappa$. For each $y \in A$, let $U_y, V_y \in \tau(X)$ such that $x \in U_y$, $y \in V_y$, and $cl U_y \cap cl V_y = \emptyset$. Note that $x \in cl_\theta(S_0 \setminus cl(V_y)) \subseteq X \setminus \{y\}$. For $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S} \cup \{S_0 \setminus cl(V_y) : y \in A \cap cl_\theta S_0\}$, we have that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}'} cl_\theta(S)$ and $|\mathcal{S}'| \leq 2^\kappa$. So, $bt_\theta(X) \leq 2^\kappa$. $\square$

Remark 1. In Example 3, we provide a Hausdorff space X such that $U(X) = \omega$ and $|[A]_\theta| > |A|^{\chi(X)}$; however, we know that $|[A]_\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$ when X is Hausdorff and finitely Urysohn. The future research goal is to identify those spaces X for which $U(X)$ is infinite and $|[A]_\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$. This research project is simplified by using that $U(X) = U(X_s)$ for any space X and then applying Corollary 1: to obtain that $|[A]_\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$ is reduced to verifying $|[A]_\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$ for a semiregular Hausdorff space X for which $U(X)$ is infinite.

3 Examples

Spaces are presented to show the existence of non-Urysohn spaces where $bt_\theta(X)$ is not defined, $fbt_\theta(X) = \omega$, and $bts_\theta(X) > \chi_\theta(X)$ (Example 1) and where $bt_\theta(X)$ and $fbt_\theta(X)$ are defined and $fbt_\theta(X) = bt_\theta(X) = \omega$ (Example 2). Example 3 gives a negative answer to a question present in [4] and in [6]. What remains open is the existence of a space X where $bt_\theta(X)$ and $fbt_\theta(X)$ are defined and $fbt_\theta(X) < bt_\theta(X)$.

Example 1. *A first countable, Hausdorff space X for which $bt_\theta(X)$ is not defined, $fbt_\theta(X) = \omega$, and $bts_\theta(X) > \chi_\theta(X)$.*

Let $\mathbb{Q} = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$ denote the space of rational numbers with the usual topology and $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{Q} + \sqrt{2}$ denote the dense subspace of irrational numbers. Let Λ be nonempty set and $X(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Q} \cup (\mathbb{D} \times \Lambda)$. A set $U \subseteq X(\Lambda)$ is defined to be open if

- (1) $p \in U \cap \mathbb{Q}$ implies there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $((p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cup ((p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{D}) \times \Lambda \subseteq U$ and
- (2) $(p, \alpha) \in U \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{\alpha\})$ for some $\alpha \in \Lambda$ implies there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $((p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{D}) \times \{\alpha\} \subseteq U$.

For $|\Lambda| \geq 2$, the space $X(\Lambda)$ is Hausdorff, semiregular, and first countable but not Urysohn. Points in $\mathbb{Q}$ have clopen neighborhoods and for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, a pair of points in $\mathbb{D} \times \{\alpha\}$ are contained in disjoint closed neighborhoods. In particular, it follows that if $|\Lambda| \in \omega$, $U(X(\Lambda)) = |\Lambda| + 1$. To compute $U(X(\Lambda))$ when $|\Lambda| \geq \omega$, let $p \in \mathbb{D}$ and $B = \{(p, \alpha_n) : n \in \omega\}$. For each $n \in \omega$, choose $\epsilon_n > 0$ such that $r_n \notin [p - \epsilon_n, p + \epsilon_n]$. Let $U_n = ((p - \epsilon_n, p + \epsilon_n) \cap \mathbb{D}) \times \{\alpha_n\}$. Then $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X U_n = \emptyset$. Thus, $U(X(\Lambda)) = \omega$.

Let $B = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{Q}$ that converges to $\sqrt{2}$ and $C \subseteq B$ be an infinite subset. Note that $cl_\theta(C) = C \cup (\{\sqrt{2}\} \times \Lambda)$. If $\mathcal{S} \in [[B]^{\leq \kappa}]^\kappa$ for some cardinal κ , then $\{\sqrt{2}\} \times \Lambda \subseteq \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} cl_\theta S \subseteq B \cup (\{\sqrt{2}\} \times \Lambda)$. It follows that if $|\Lambda| \in \omega$, $bt_\theta(X(\Lambda)) = \omega$ and if $|\Lambda| \geq \omega$, $bt_\theta(X(\Lambda)) = \log_2(|\Lambda|)$. In particular, if $|\Lambda| = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, then $bt_\theta(X(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c} > \chi_\theta(X(\Lambda))$.

For $|\Lambda| = 2$ (i.e. $\Lambda = \{0, 1\}$), $U(X) = 3$ and the set $\mathbb{Q}$ is not θ -closed and $cl_\theta(\mathbb{Q}) = X$. In fact, the points $(\sqrt{2}, 0), (\sqrt{2}, 1)$ can not be separated by disjoint closed neighborhoods. Again, let $B = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{Q}$ that converges to $\sqrt{2}$ and $C \subseteq B$ be an infinite subset. As $cl_\theta(C) = C \cup \{(\sqrt{2}, 0), (\sqrt{2}, 1)\}$, $bt_\theta(X)$ is not defined. On the other hand, it is easy to show that $fbt_\theta(X) = \omega$. [It is straightforward to show that if Y is the irrational slope space, then $U(Y) = 3$, $fbt_\theta(X) = \omega$, and $bt_\theta(X)$ is not defined.]

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let Λ_n be a set with n elements and $X_n = X(\Lambda_n)$. The topological sum space $Y = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ is Hausdorff but not n -Urysohn for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ even though $U(Y) = \omega$. However, $fbt_\theta(Y) = \omega$ and $bt_\theta(Y)$ is not defined.

Example 2. [CH] An Urysohn space X for which $fbt_\theta(X) = bt_\theta(X) = \omega$.

This example is like *Example 2.3* in [8]. Let $\tau(\mathbb{R})$ be the usual topology on $\mathbb{R}$ and let the underlying set of X be $\mathbb{R}$ with this finer topology:

$$\tau(X) \text{ is generated by } \{U \setminus C : U \in \tau(\mathbb{R}), C \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\leq \omega_1}\}.$$

Now, we have $C \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\leq \omega_1}$ in the above definition whereas, the example in [8], it is $C \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\leq \omega}$. So, we need that $\mathfrak{c} > \omega_1$ (i.e., $\neg \mathbf{CH}$).

Anyway, let's look at the example where $\kappa < \mathfrak{c}$. That is, X is $\mathbb{R}$ with this finer topology:

$$\tau(X) \text{ is generated by } \{U \setminus C : U \in \tau(\mathbb{R}), C \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\leq \kappa}\}.$$

Let $A \subseteq X$. Now $x \in cl_{\theta, X} A$ iff for $x \in U \in \tau(X)$, $cl_X U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ iff for $x \in U \setminus C$ where $U \in \tau(\mathbb{R})$ and $C \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\leq \kappa}$, $cl_X(U \setminus C) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Note that $cl_X(U \setminus C) = cl_{\mathbb{R}} U$ as $X(s) = \mathbb{R}$. That is, $x \in cl_{\theta, X} A$ iff $x \in cl_{\theta, \mathbb{R}} A$ iff $x \in cl_{\mathbb{R}} A$.

Let A be a non- θ -closed subset of X and $x \in cl_{\theta, X} A \setminus A = cl_{\mathbb{R}} A \setminus A$. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$ such that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S_m = \{x_n : n \geq m\}$. Then $cl_{\theta, X} S_m = cl_{\mathbb{R}} S_m = S_m \cup \{x\}$ and $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} S_m = \{x\}$. So, $bt_{\theta}(X) = \omega$ and it follows, by the above fact, that $fbt_{\theta}(X) = bt_{\theta}(X) = \omega$.

The question asked in both [4, 6] is whether $|[A]_{\theta}| \leq |A|^{\chi_{\theta}(X)} \cdot U(X)$ is true for all Hausdorff spaces X , i.e., when $U(X)$ is infinite. A negative answer is presented in the next example using a space described in Example 1.

Example 3. A Hausdorff space X with $U(X) = \chi(X) = \omega$ for which $|[A]_{\theta}| > |A|^{\chi(X)} \cdot U(X)$.

Let Λ be set such that $|\Lambda| > \mathfrak{c}$ and $X(\Lambda)$ be defined as in Example 1. As noted in Example 1, $X(\Lambda)$ is a first countable Hausdorff space with $U(X) = \omega$. As $cl_{\theta} \mathbb{Q} = X(\Lambda)$, $|cl_{\theta} \mathbb{Q}| = |\Lambda| > \mathfrak{c}$. However, $|\mathbb{Q}|^{\chi(X(\Lambda))} \cdot U(X(\Lambda)) = \omega^{\omega} \cdot \omega = 2^{\omega}$. Thus, $|cl_{\theta} \mathbb{Q}| > |\mathbb{Q}|^{\chi(X(\Lambda))} \cdot U(X(\Lambda))$.

4 Open problems

Question 1. An unsolved problem is to characterize those Hausdorff spaces X for which $bt_{\theta}(X)$ and $fbt_{\theta}(X)$ are defined?

Question 2. Does there exist a Hausdorff (or Urysohn) space X for which $bt_{\theta}(X)$ and $fbt_{\theta}(X)$ are defined and $fbt_{\theta}(X) < bt_{\theta}(X)$?

References

- [1] O.T. Alas and Lj.D. Kočinac, *More cardinal inequalities on Urysohn spaces*, **Math. Balkanica** **14** (2000), 247-252.
- [2] A. Bella and F. Cammaroto, *On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces*, **Canad. Math. Bull.** **31** (1988), 153-158.
- [3] A. Bella and I.v. Yaschenko, *Embeddings into first countable spaces with H -closed like properties*, **Top and its Appl** **83** (1998), 53-61.
- [4] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto and M. Matveev, *On the Urysohn number of a topological space*, **Quaest. Math.** **34** (2011), 441-446.
- [5] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, M. Matveev and B. Pansera, *On weaker forms of separability*, **Quaest. Math.** **31** (2008), 387-395.
- [6] M. Bonanzinga, B.A. Pansera, *On the Urysohn number of a topological space II*, **Quaest. Math.** (2012), in press.
- [7] F. Cammaroto, A. Catalioto. B.A. Pansera and B. Tsaban, *On the cardinality of the θ -closed hull of sets*, submitted.
- [8] F. Cammaroto and Lj.D. Kočinac, *On θ -tightness*, **Facta Universitatis (Niš)**, **Ser. Math. Inform.** **8** (1993), 77-85.
- [9] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, **Heldermann Verlag**, Berlin, 1989.
- [10] I. Juhász, *Cardinal Functions in Topology - Ten Years Later*, **Math. Centre Tracts** **123**, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [11] J.R. Porter and R.G. Woods, *Extensions and absolutes of Hausdorff spaces*, **Springer-Verlag**, New York, 1988.
- [12] L.A. Steen and J.A. Seebach, *Counterexamples in Topology*, **Dover Publications**, New York, 1995.

Filippo Cammaroto, Andrei Catalioto, Bruno Antonio Pansera
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Messina
viale Ferdinando Stagno D'Alcontres 31
S. Agata 98166 - Messina, Italy
`[camfil,acatalioto,bpansera]@unime.it`

Jack Porter
Department of Mathematics
University of Kansas
1460 Jayhawk Blvd. (Snow Hall)
Lawrence, KS 66045-7594, USA
`porter@math.ku.edu`